

TENSORS + INDICAL NOTATION

RECALL THAT A TENSOR (OR VECTOR) IS ITSELF INDEPENDENT OF COOR. SYSTEM BUT CAN BE DESCRIBED IN ANY COORD. SYS. HERE ARE SOME NOTATIONS TO BE COMFORTABLE WITH:

Tensor Notation -  $\underline{u}$  (vector) or  $\underline{W}$  (tensor)  
THIS IS INDEP. OF COORD SYS.

INDICAL NOTATION -  $u_i \underline{e}_i$  (vector) or  $W_{ij} \underline{e}_i \otimes \underline{e}_j$

NOTES: 1) THIS NOTATION IS COOR. SYS. DEPENDENT  
 $u_i$  or  $W_{ij}$  WILL BE DIFFERENT IN CYLINDRICAL VS. CARTESIAN, FOR EXAMPLE. OR EVEN ROTATIONS OF CARTESIAN COOR SYS.'S WILL HAVE DIFFERENT COMPONENTS

2) SUMMATION CONVENTION APPLIES here, so  $u_i \underline{e}_i = u_1 \underline{e}_1 + u_2 \underline{e}_2 + u_3 \underline{e}_3$   
or  $W_{ij} \underline{e}_i \otimes \underline{e}_j = W_{11} \underline{e}_1 \otimes \underline{e}_1 + W_{12} \underline{e}_1 \otimes \underline{e}_2 + W_{13} \underline{e}_1 \otimes \underline{e}_3 + \dots - (9 \text{ terms})$

## COMPONENT NOTATION

$u_i$  (vector) or  $W_{ij}$  (tensor)

THIS IS THE SAME AS INDICAL NOTATION BUT A SHORTHAND, WHERE YOU DO NOT WRITE OUT THE BASE VECTORS.

NOTES: 1) THIS NOTATION IMPLICITLY ASSUMES A PARTICULAR COOR SYS.

2)  $W_{ij}$  = any one of the nine components of  $\underline{\underline{W}}$ , because  $i$  and  $j$  are not repeated indices. So this is generally REPRESENTING ALL POSSIBLE VALUES  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$  INDIVIDUALLY. IN THIS CASE  $i$  AND  $j$  ARE CALLED "FREE INDICES".

$$3) W_{ii} = W_{11} + W_{22} + W_{33}$$


UNLIKE  $W_{ij}$ , HERE WE HAVE NO FREE INDICES, ONLY  $i$  REPEATED, IMPLYING SUMMATION FROM 1-3. HERE  $i$  IS CALLED A "DUMMY INDEX".

So  $W_{ij}$  is one of 9 scalars  
But  $W_{ii}$  is one scalar ( $W_{11} + W_{22} + W_{33}$ )

MATRIX NOTATION -  $\begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \end{bmatrix}$  (vector)  $\begin{bmatrix} W_{11} & W_{12} & W_{13} \\ W_{21} & W_{22} & W_{23} \\ W_{31} & W_{32} & W_{33} \end{bmatrix}$  (tensor)

Matrix notation is just a matrix representation of the component notation. Hence base vectors are implied by where you are in the matrix. For example  $W_{23}$  implies the scalar associated with  $\underline{e}_2 \otimes \underline{e}_3$ . Hence this is also coord. sys. dependent.

R

A POTENTIALLY CONFUSING ANALOGY: CONSIDER AN APPLE . IT IS OBVIOUSLY THE SAME FRUIT REGARDLESS OF WHAT LANGUAGE YOU SPEAK.

 = APPLE in ENGLISH

 = POMME in French

 = 苹果 in MANDARIN  
(sorry...)

TENSOR  
 $\tilde{w}_{ij}$

COMPONENTS  
 $\tilde{w}_{ij}$

COORD. SYS  
 $\hat{e}_i \otimes \hat{e}_j$

NEXT, SOME DEFINITIONS/PROPERTIES:

$$\underline{u} \otimes \underline{v} \neq \underline{v} \otimes \underline{u}$$

$$\underline{u} \otimes \underline{v} \cdot \underline{w} = (\underline{v} \cdot \underline{w}) \underline{u}$$

( $\otimes$  disappears b/c  
dot product reduces  
this from 2<sup>nd</sup> to first  
order tensor, aka vector)

$$\underline{w} \cdot \underline{u} \otimes \underline{v} = (\underline{w} \cdot \underline{u}) \underline{v}$$

TERM - IN EINSTEIN SUMMATION WE SAY

IF AN INDEX APPEARS TWICE IN A "term"  
THEN SUMMATION IS IMPLIED. A TERM IS  
ANY SINGLE VARIABLE OR PRODUCT OF VARIABLES

SO

$$\delta_{ii} = \delta_{11} + \delta_{22} + \delta_{33} \quad (\text{SUMMATION})$$
$$= 3$$

BUT  $a_i + b_i = a_1 + b_1$  OR  $a_2 + b_2$  OR  $a_3 + b_3$   
i.e. the index is repeated but not in  
a single term. THIS WOULD BE  
AN EQUATION IN COMPONENT FORM (above).

FINALLY,

CONTRACTION OF AN EXPRESSION CONTAINING A KRONCKER  
DELTA IS A SHORTCUT FOR SIMPLIFYING IT.

TO PERFORM CONTRACTION YOU REWRITE THE  
EXPRESSION BUT OMIT THE  $\delta$  AND IN THE  
REMAINING TERMS, REPLACE ONE OF THE  $\delta$  INDICES  
W/ THE OTHER, FOR EXAMPLE,

TO SIMPLIFY  $a_i \delta_{i3}$  BY CONTRACTION, WE DROP  
 $\delta_{i3}$  AND REPLACE  $i$  WITH 3 IN THE REMAINING  
TERM  $\Rightarrow$

$$a_i \delta_{i3} = a_3$$

BUT WE COULD HAVE DONE THIS THE LONGER WAY  
BY EXPANDING OUT THE SUM AND EVALUATING TO  
GET THE SAME THING (BUT WITH MORE WORK):

$$\begin{aligned} a_i \delta_{i3} &= a_1 \delta_{13} + a_2 \delta_{23} + a_3 \delta_{33} \\ &= a_1(0) + a_2(0) + a_3(1) \\ &= a_3 \end{aligned}$$